

FEB 13 2020

David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court

UNITED States District Court  
Southern District of Texas  
Corpus Christi Division

David Hooverkamp  
Plaintiff

vs.

Civil Action

2:17-CV-18

University of Texas  
CMHC Committee  
Defendants

Plaintiffs Response to Order  
Construing Plaintiff's Filings as  
Objections.

To the Honorable Judge of Said Court.

The above Plaintiff filed a response to the  
Court order construing Plaintiff's filings  
as objections.

all take this by the numbers so  
nothing gets lost.

1) The Court has me over a barrel, the Defendants  
attorneys and the Clerk trade E-mails back  
and forth all day and know what number  
the Court put to the objections.

1. cont.

Where's the Plaintiff, in the dark.

Now what, who, when is this D.E. 111, 112 — good question and fair. I've ask for them pages with them little numbers to be sent to me but it don't happen.

Judge, you know what DE 111, 112 is, I don't.

#2 Now if 111, 112 is that "Significant Relief" there no senses in us re-hashing this. The Plaintiff and the Bench are eye to eye and no ones Blushing.

#3. You're hitting on all cylinders construing these filings as objectives. The worse thing you can do is see a violation that would be wrong under one theory of law but correct under another, but do nothing. That's a lie.

I've been in combat, the priest gave everyone Absolution for Their Sins, when the sun came up it wasn't a day for lies. When the battle was over, there were more casualties than the wounded soldiers. Combat nurses were completely burn out with the 1000 yard Stare.

4) I'm concerned over this Sex-Reassignment surgery A/K/A "SRS". I filed a Motion under Rule 60 that is outright lie

and fraud was used in the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit on SRS,  
and I presented evidence in 2020 that medical  
information that the defendants gave me in 2020  
that SRS was Safe-Effective and works.

The University Law school of Virginia was  
dead wrong to send a 3<sup>rd</sup> year Law Student in  
front of the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court to defend Ms Gibson.

Then to top it off, the student wasn't  
aware the medical information was 50 years  
old, out-dated and there was more advanced  
information about SRS.

Then attorneys knew it was a lie, now,

Does these court orders protect my turf on  
having a hearing about a material fact is  
SRS surgery Safe? or not?

This is material fact that has to be  
answered under any theory of law.

I urge the Court to bring the States  
Attorney to argue the case that argued in  
the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit. I'll go up against him on  
material fact, any time, any place, track conditions  
no problem?

This is not a day for lies. There's too  
many people getting the 1000 yard stare.

So Prays the Plaintiff

Ms Bobber Feb 5, 2020

Feb 5, 2020

## Update on State Action

This is just a update only for information,  
no action required.

I filed the Breach of Contract — the State  
Clerk filed it as a Mandamus and it went  
in front of the Bench. The next day, I  
got legal mail and the 13th Court of Appeals  
very, very politely informed me they didn't have  
jurisdiction — had a nice memorandum to prove it.

I feel like a Big Lady going thru  
the Texas Judicial System trying to stuff  
it somewhere.

I plan to try the District Court  
and if it gets kicked back I'll file in  
Bankrupt's Court — the Court of Last Resort.

So Prays the Plaintiff  
Ms Belbin